From:	Marti Kie [mkie@water.ca.gov]
Sent:	Tuesday, July 06, 1999 7:54 AM
To:	PeteR@jsanet.com
Cc:	michael_fris@fws.gov; aitchid@hdcdojnet.state.ca.us; SCANTREL@hq.dfg.ca.gov; PaulC@jsanet.com; karl.halupka@noaa.gov
Subject:	Re: Tracy screen ASIP

Sounds good Pete, and sounds like you've been busy. Though we originally intended to use these two ASIPs as our "practise" runs, anything we develop will be used in the final ASIPS that go forward to the agencies for take authorization. WE are the project proponents for the first 5 or so Stage 1 bundles that will need ASIPs. So whatever we do, we gotta do it good!

At 07:34 AM 7/6/99 -0700, you wrote:
>TSASIP and ERPASIP teams (boy am I clever or what?): This e-mail presents
>info relevent to both the TSASIP and ERPASIP team tasks.
>
>TSASIP
>
>I don't think we're far enough along at this point to necessitate the need
>for a formal TSASIP meeting this week. We are in the process of putting
>together an initial Tracy Facility ASIP package. Rather than have a
>meeting, I propose that we send out what we are putting together by the end
>of the week for your review. We could then ammend the package to address
>your comments and provide to the full team at the July 14 MSCS meeting. We
>are planning to include the following in the initial package:
>
>1. A project description (we have developed a draft ASIP outline [Danae,
>this will be sent to you soon]. The description will be based on the info
>provided by Karl and a project description we received from USBR.
>
>2. A project location map.
>
>3. A list of NCCP habitats likely to be affected (based on GIS habitat
>database search that a project proponent would likely go thru).
>
>4. A list of all special-status species that potentially occur or could
>occur in the project area (this list is to be based on database searches
>that project proponents would likely go thru).
>
>5. Based on item 4, a list of evaluated species that could be affected by
>the project.
>
>If there is still a need to meet this week, I would be available Thurs. PM
>or Fri. AM.
>
>ERPASIP
>
>We are pursuing the following activities in preparation of the ERPASIP:
>
>1. Preparation of a location map for the ERP action bundle proposed by Karl.
>
>2. Preparation of an intial list of information needed for each action to
>allow preparation of a project description. This list (once agreed to by
>the team) could then be forwarded to the ERP team for their response.
>
>3. A list of NCCP habitats likely to be affected (based on GIS habitat
>database search that a project proponent would likely go thru).
>
>4. A list of all special-status species that potentially occur or could
>occur in the project area (this list is to be based on database searches
>that project proponents would likely go thru).
>
>5. Based on item 4, a list of evaluated species that could be affected by
>the project.
>

1

>Let me know your thoughts,
>Pete
>
>>>> Marti Kie <mkie@water.ca.gov> 07/01/99 02:52PM >>>
>Hi all.
>
>Scott called me today and we thought it would be a good idea, now that
>we've had a chance to read what Karl sent us, to get together and discuss
>how we can take this project description and start putting it through the
>process.
>
>Is there a time we can all get together either in person or on the phone
>next week? The week is open for me except for Thursday the 8th from 9-12
>and of course, Monday.
>
>
>Let me know.
>
>Marti